

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/506,366	ROKUGO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Inder P Mehra	2666	

All Participants:

(1) Inder P Mehra.

(2) Paul Harrity, Attorney, Regd. No. 39,574.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

22, 30, 32, 35 and 36

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed claims 22, 30, 32, 35 and 36, as set forth in Examiner's Amendment in office action.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)